

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences

eISSN 2704-6524

Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences follows the [COPE Code of Conduct for Journal Editors](#) and the [COPE Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers](#). Allegations of misconduct will be investigated in accordance with the [COPE Best Practice Guidelines](#), as far as is practicable.

Authorship

Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences expects all published articles to contain clear and accurate attribution of authorship. It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to ensure that all authors that contributed to the work are fairly acknowledged, that all authors are included in the author list in the order previously agreed by all, and that all authors are aware that the paper was submitted. Where authorship disputes arise, the editorial team will follow the [COPE guidelines](#). Where authors employ the services of third-party agencies prior to submission, for instance, in language editing or manuscript formatting/preparation, they must ensure that all services comply with the following guidelines. Obtaining informed consent from all the study participants is critical and should not be trivialized by the authors.

Changes in Authorship

Requests for changes in authorship must be directed to the conference manager. Requests should be dealt with fairly and in accordance with the published policy of the conference. Changes in authorship will be allowed only if valid reasons are provided and all authors agree with the changes. Post-publication changes to authorship are strictly prohibited.

“Ghost”, “Guest”, or “Gift” Authorship

Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences takes an adamant stance against all types of ghost, guest, or gift authorship and works closely with authors, editors, and publishing partners to do so. Any allegation of ghost, guest, or gift authorship will be investigated in accordance with [the COPE guidelines](#). In instances where such misconduct is identified, the culpable authors will be removed from an article via a post-publication correction or erratum. The proceedings have the option of notifying the relevant authors' local or institutional ethics committee.

"Ghost" authorship is known as the practice of using an unnamed author to draft or prepare an article for publication. Ghost writers are frequently, though not always, paid sponsors, employees, junior researchers, or associates from outside the academic community.

"Guest" or "gift" authorship is known as the practice of appointing someone who contributed with little to no knowledge to a study as an author on a publication. Senior researchers, affiliated researchers, friends, or colleagues of the main author are frequently but not always gift authors. Additionally, some organizations charge a fee for gift authorship.

Article Submission

Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences takes every effort to ensure that editors, peer reviewers, and conference managers treat all submissions respectfully, in confidence, and in accordance with [the COPE guidelines](#). *Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences* expects that all individuals submitting manuscripts to the proceedings will abide by established publishing standards and ethics.

Redundant Publication (Dual Submission or Publication)

Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences evaluates submissions on the understanding that they have not been previously published in, or simultaneously submitted to another publication. We also advise editors and conference managers to carefully record all correspondence with authors and peer reviewers with regard to the submissions they handle. These records are carefully archived and may be used to facilitate inquiries into potential misconduct cases.

Plagiarism

Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences evaluates submissions on the understanding that they are the original work of the author(s). We assume that any references in a manuscript or article to

someone else's work or idea will be properly cited. Additionally, we expect authors to obtain all necessary approvals before publication.

Defamation

Although striving to promote freedom of expression wherever possible, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences* aims to avoid publishing anything that harms the reputation of an individual, business, group, or organization unless it can be proven to be true.

Conflict of Interest

Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences is committed to transparency in areas of potential conflict of interest. We encourage our editors and reviewers to regularly check our policies on Conflict of Interest.

Conflicts of interest, also called competing interests, are defined as financial, personal, social, or other interests that directly or indirectly influence the conduct of the author with respect to the particular manuscript.

When assigning reviewers, our editors take any conflicts of interest into consideration. Our proceedings include a wording in their invitation to review stating that acceptance of the invitation implies no financial or competing interest. The editors choose substitution reviewers when a reviewer discloses a potential conflict of interest. Reviewers who fail to disclose conflicts of interest risk having their names removed from journal databases.

Data Manipulation and Research Fraud

Research fraud is the practice of reporting findings and drawing conclusions from sources other than the study's original data (fabrication) or data that have been altered (falsification). Editors or reviewers may request the authors to release the raw datasheets if they have any doubts during the review process so that suspicions can be confirmed or alleviated. If sufficient doubt is expressed, editors may ask for the datasheets even after the publication period has ended.

Fair Editing and Peer Review

Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences encourages all participants in the publishing process to adhere to established principles of ethical publishing. This extends from the authors to the editors, reviewers and publishing staff.

Peer Review and Reviewer Conduct

Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics and Social Sciences supports and refers its editors to the [COPE Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers](#). Two independent subject-matter experts review the manuscripts. The reviewers provide the editors with a recommendation and a scientific assessment. Reviewers remain unknown to authors. Before deciding whether to accept, accept with revisions, or reject a manuscript, the handling editor takes into account both the manuscript and the reviewers' remarks.

Editors' Responsibilities

Publication Decisions

The editor is responsible for deciding which papers submitted to the conference will be published. The editor will evaluate the manuscripts without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy. The decision will be based on the importance, originality, clarity, validity, and the relevance of the articles to the scope of the journal. Current legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism should also be considered.

Confidentiality

The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

The editor and editorial board members will not, without the author's explicit written approval, use any unpublished materials provided in a submitted manuscript for their own research.

Reviewer Responsibilities

Contribution to Editorial Decisions

The peer review process assists the editor and the editorial board in making editorial decisions and may also serve the author in improving the paper.

Promptness

Referees should tell the editor and resign from the review process if they feel unqualified to evaluate the research reported in a manuscript or are aware that doing so promptly will not be possible.

Confidentiality

Any manuscript received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be disclosed to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

Standards of Objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Conclusion

Authors, editorial staff, and reviewers sometimes engage in a wide range of unethical practices, some of which are done on purpose and others, which are done by accident. The publication ethics described here will assist them in avoiding such misconduct and performing honest ethical research and pursuing publications.